

**October 29, 2007 (#31)**  
**ALAN WATT**  
**"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"**  
**LIVE ON RBN**

**Title Copyright Alan Watt October 29, 2007:**  
**"H.R. 1955 HOME GROWN TERRORISM—**  
**HAS U.S. GONE TO POT?"**  
**© Alan Watt October 29, 2007**

**Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - October 29, 2007**  
**(Exempting Music, Literary Quotes and Callers' Comments)**

**[WWW.CUTTINGTHROUGHTHEMATRIX.COM](http://WWW.CUTTINGTHROUGHTHEMATRIX.COM)**

**[www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu)**

**"Code of Silence" by Bruce Springsteen**

There's a code of silence that we don't dare speak  
There's a wall between us and a river so deep  
And we keep pretending that there's nothing wrong  
But there's a code of silence and it can't go on

I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through the Matrix on October 29th, 2007. For newcomers, as always, check into the website [cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com) and go through some of the histories from previous talks I've given over the years on what led up to this position where we stand today and where we're going from here and the various organizations that are behind it. Look into [alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://alanwattsentientsentinel.eu) for downloadable transcripts in the tongues of Europe.

I don't know how many people in the U.S. and Canada have noticed over these last few days that spraying is being stepped up in the skies there. Even though it's overcast, if you look, you'll even see the lines cutting through the clouds, the straight lines from the jets because whatever they're spraying is not water vapor. It's coming right through the clouds and leaving these very dark trails and people are becoming pretty tired or laid back. That's another effect that drugs would have on you and they're waking up as well with muscle cramps and various muscular problems. That seems to be a side effect of whatever it is that's in the spraying. Personally, I think it's the main objective right now is to get the spraying stopped, because if we can't stop what's being sprayed over us and we're breathing in every single day, then there's not much of a chance for any of us, for all the rest of the things that are going on. Sure enough, there's lots going on as always and we're the last to know. That's the way of history. The big boys write the history. They're the victors and they write it in retrospect and take out all the bad bits that they've done to the public.

We'll be the last to know too once we enter into the Brave New World of Huxley and we'll be told then of course what actually happened to the public and no one will care because you'll have a new type of human being that won't care much about anything except their drug intake and their pleasure. That's the Huxley Brave New World agenda.

Another thing that's cropped up too, this new bill that went through the House in the U.S. and I believe it was sponsored even or put up there by the Pentagon or they're taking charge of this particular bill as it was passed. It's bill **H.R. 1955**, called "**Violent Radicalization and Home Grown Terrorism Prevention Act of 2007**." Apparently it went right through the House, no problem at all, it sailed through. They did what they were told and voted for it. What they say they want is to go after all talk show hosts who they claim have advocated violence, but the wording is so typically legalistic you could stretch it like rubber bands and it said here:

*"To produce exact quotes showing where the patriot or truth movement broadcasters as distinct from callers to the show and those who call themselves patriots but are not directly on the air themselves have advocated violent radicalization and homegrown terrorism."*

Now that's wide open. I mean radicalization is anything outside what becomes normal, and since normal is always changing, then anything can be called radical, even fashion. Your words can be bent whichever way they want and this is the next step of the war, which is going after people who speak and that's really what it is. They want only one side of everything to be told to the public. That's worked very well but not for everyone. I'll be back after these messages.

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt back with Cutting Through the Matrix, and just talking a little bit about Bill 1955. Something important must have happened in 1955 for them to bring that up because they like their little games and their little tricks and I'm sure we'll find something with NSA or CIA stuck in there somewhere, some creation of something. They're going after the talk show hosts now and I have said for years that eventually that the Pentagon will dish out all of the politically correct news to the patriot stations one day and tell them what they can and can't talk about. That will come and they'll have to make an example of someone of course. This is the end of free speech and this started really quite sometime ago, about 10 or even 15 years ago.

Gradually little snippets came into the media and the media parroted it to us and they called it "anti-government." It was a new term in the West, but it was a very old term in the eastern Soviet bloc because anyone who complained about anything to do with government was termed anti-government, which is kind of a con game because when you think about it, all the parties that want into power are decrying the present governments that are in. Then they get into power and the opposition decries them, so technically all parties are anti-government when they're all complaining about each other.

However, this term came into the common usage. It just sort of snuck in like all things do and people start to accept these terms without thinking much about them, but I knew at the time this was really getting set-up for a day to come and

the day basically is here, where anyone who complains about anything the government is up to is not complaining about a government policy, they're actually anti-government. That's where it's all going. The whole law on this really is no different than the Soviet state because they'll have their political prisoners shortly from the general population put into special gulags where you get reconditioned and come out like a robot saying all the right things and bowing to the right people on cue and that's where it's all going.

Now I think we have Don from North Carolina on the line. Are you there, Don?  
Hello Don.

**Don:** Hi Alan. Could you comment on Warren Buffett? Do you know if he is a Rothschild agent?

**Alan:** Personally, this is my take on things, I think anybody who's been in that game of politics and in that position for years, they belong to the system, the system that is not the people's. It's a lifelong career with these people. You hear their names your whole lifelong and if they haven't changed anything by now, why would you think they'd change anything for the better in the future? I tend not to fall into the leader category, chasing leaders and praising them. I've lived too long to see the same cons in every country and they always give us the knights in shining armor that say all the right things until they get into power. That's been the history of politics for as long as you can go back. We've got to stop looking for knights in shining armor and realize that *we* are the people and we are the ones who are supposed to advise *them* on what to do, but we never get asked for advice. In fact we're dominated really by a system and that's the beauty of party politics. The dialectic is right in the game of party politics. It's a lifelong party for these guys, as you can tell by the color of some of their noses. They belong to a party. They don't represent the people. They literally belong to the party and everyone wants to get up the ladder within that party, so the man at the top - as long as you control the man at the top, the rest of them are like trained seals, they clap for him. That's what Professor Carroll Quigley said in his own book in "*Tragedy & Hope*." He said "we don't have to own all the politicians for The Council on Foreign Relations for this agenda. He says it's only important we own the man at the top and a few advisers. The rest of them are allowed a form of competition down below to keep their jobs." That's all it is, a show for the public.

**Don:** Yes. Because I noticed Warren Buffett had met with Lord Rothschild. It was in The Scotsman, one of the Scottish newspapers with Arnold Schwarzenegger before he decided to run for governor and when he came back then he made his announcement on the Tonight Show and I just remember that. I also did a little research. I don't know if people know this, but Warren Buffett's father was a member of Colbert Lodge No. 11 in Omaha, Nebraska, so I know his father was a Mason, so I'm just assuming that even though you can't identify Warren Buffet as a Mason, that he may be high up enough that he's not actually associated with any particular lodge.

**Alan:** That's right. You have lodges at the top that can go into any lodges, whether it's the Grand Lodge or any other lodge across the world, and some of them are in the noble orders where they keep really out of the public limelight. It's not printed anywhere until one day when they retire, they'll mention it in the newspapers; but while they're in power, they generally don't mention much about

it.

**Don:** What I'm noticing is people are so apathetic even about investigating 9/11. I'm a retired airline pilot and even my own friends they sit there and I try to tell them these things what's really going on and they say that's just your opinion. They won't even take the five minutes to even investigate and what they do is they get angry at you.

**Alan:** They get angry because you're bursting their comfortable paradigm where they've been trained all their lives remember. Aldous Huxley said it, scientific socialism, scientific indoctrination, you don't realize that every cartoon that you started off with was affecting you and giving you little programs and messages, right up through your schooling. Right up through the media taking over and giving you what you need to know. You have a lifelong indoctrination and under socialism, which is the intent is to keep the public playing like children while the adults, the ones at the top take care of the big problems. Most folk have swallowed that hook, line and sinker, and the problem is now you're dealing with adults who are just like children and they're living in a comfy paradigm. They don't want to look at what could be happening and even what they might notice themselves out of the corner of their eyes because it makes them uncomfortable. They know if they question one little thing or even one big thing that's going on, they might have to re-view everything they ever believed and they don't want to go there. The slaves, the happy slaves themselves will turn on you because you're bursting their bubble and you've got to realize you're dealing with brainwashed people. They really believe the 6 o'clock news you know.

**Don:** I mean it's just the apathy. People don't seem to give a crap about anything anymore.

**Alan:** Society itself and the bonds of society that held it together and got people through the worst of times has again, under socialism, been successfully eliminated. If someone in the family even gets hurt or gets sick, even family members will say that welfare will take care of that or something. There's always a government agency. They've taken over the roles of families and there's no bonding anymore between people. That was part of the whole institution they talked about as early as 1900, the destruction of the family unit and government organizations and NGOs would take over those responsibilities; and when that happens you're basically isolated. Every individual is isolated from every other individual. You live in your little cocoon and you're supposed to play and work and consume until you die and not get involved in life and with those around you.

**Don:** Yes. Okay Alan, thanks for taking my call. Have a good evening.

**Alan:** Thanks for your call. That's what we're seeing today. We're seeing a scientific indoctrination that the culmination of it, where people are being taught to be egosyntonic, they call it egocentricity. They're egosyntonic and they do what's pleasing to them. They avoid any sort of long-term gain that involves pain. They want immediate pleasure and this is a psychopathic system. Psychopaths are known for wanting immediate pleasure. They've given us a culture which we now emulate and we want to have fun all the time, which is not what living is all about. It's a recent idea that we're supposed to be happy all the time. When they married psychiatry with government a long time ago, they brought the eugenics philosophy

into it and they brought in this idea that you're supposed to be happy seven days a week, 24 hours per day. That's not what makes you grow. That's not what makes you a full human being and yet that's how the people have now swallowed it hook, line and sinker. They're emulating everything they've been taught. They become what they've been taught and they don't want to hear bad news. They really don't want any bad news at all.

They don't mind people getting killed all over the planet or starving to death somewhere, as long as they're not having to see it. It might upset them if it's happening around them. It would definitely upset them if it was happening to them, but they don't want to see it. They want everything nice and clean and tidy and to live in a little bubble where the whole world can go away and they can live their own individual lives, retire and go fishing. That's what they've been taught and while they're doing that the big boys who run this world for an awful long time have planned the future and it's a future with a very different humanity that's going to come out of it, a Huxley type of Brave New World. In fact I was just reading an old quote by Julian Huxley from a book that he wrote. Julian Huxley was the brother of Aldous Huxley. The two brothers were the grandsons of Sir Thomas Huxley, who was Darwin's best friend. Aldous Huxley was a top eugenicist. He became the first director general of UNESCO (United Nations Educational Society) and the whole idea was set-up to create a world system, a world culture, which they'd already planned which one it would be, and how everyone would have to come into the same system. I'll be back with the quote after these messages.

Alan Watt back with Cutting Through the Matrix, and piecing things together to try and break this paradigm that everyone is involved with and most are unaware of and how it was created around them. How they've got into it and how they've accepted it. Remember that Aldous Huxley talked about scientific indoctrination along with other ones, big players like Lord Bertrand Russell. Here's UNESCO, United Nations Educational Society was set-up at the end of World War II, as the United Nations was in fact to be the embryo of a world government, not representing the public although they're very good at PR. That's their main thrust, PR, a spin to the public to appear to be this benevolent big organization, but in reality it's non-democratic. No one votes from the general public to get anyone in. In fact, it's a closed shop as far as who they employ. All we do know is that they are on about their second or third generation of employees and they interbreed amongst themselves and have their own schools to breed up further New World Order bureaucrats.

Here is UNESCO and this is the First Director General of UNESCO, Sir Julian Huxley, who was an ardent defender of Darwin's evolution like his grandpappy and who wrote the 1948 book.

UNESCO: Its Purpose and Its Philosophy. That's the name of it.

**UNESCO: Its Purpose and Its Philosophy:** He goes on to say:

*"The general philosophy of UNESCO should be a scientific world humanism, global in extent and evolutionary in background its education program it can stress the ultimate need for world political unity and familiarize all peoples with the implications of the transfer of full sovereignty from separate nations to a*

world organization.

**Alan:** That's government.

*"Political unification in some sort of world government will be required."*

**Alan:** Now here's what he says here.

*"...Tasks for the media division..."*

**Alan:** Big media division at the United Nations.

*"...Tasks for the media division of UNESCO (will be) to promote the growth of a common outlook shared by all nations and cultures..."*

**Alan:** In other words, one single culture and everybody's got to be stuffed into the same bin. They don't like untidy cultures that won't join them.

**He goes on to say:**

*"...to help the emergence of a single world culture...."*

**Alan:** We didn't plan this culture. There was boys at the top, you know the scientist ones that were doing it all for us, and they've changed it along the route to this final culture and we've all gone through it. We've seen the changes in our own lives.

**I'll continue here:**

*"...Even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy..."*

**Alan:** Radical eugenic policy. Look up eugenics, folks, for those who can't think too deeply or have no memory, look up eugenics and be very, very afraid.

*"...any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake..."*

**Alan:** He's talking about basically indoctrination into the public gradually.

*"...so that much that now is unthinkable may at least become thinkable."*

**Alan:** We're being programmed to accept it you see, to be familiar with the ideas, and that's all these nature shows over the last 20, 30 years have been about. You're just another animal and what's wrong with altering our behavior, our nature et cetera, et cetera.

**I'll just repeat that last part because it's so important.**

*"Even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem..."*

**Alan:** Now he says the eugenic problem.

*"...is examined with the greatest care and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake so that much that now is unthinkable may at least become thinkable."*

**Alan:** Predictive programming, that's what we've all been through. They have to go through the different bases of devaluating human life, which we've all pretty well accepted all across the board and there's as many children going up chimney's you know across America and Canada and Europe than any burnt sacrifice down through history and it's commonplace now every day. We don't think much of human life because we don't like children anyhow. That's just the way it goes at the top and we've all accepted their policies. There's from Mr. Huxley himself, a man who should know since he was in on the formulation of this particular system.

Now I think we have Andrew from North Vancouver. Hello, Andrew?

**Andrew:** I have something to say about Warren Buffett from the previous caller. I'm reading this book called "The Committee of 300" by Dr. John Coleman, and Warren Buffett apparently is one of the 300 most powerful people in the world because at the end of this book there's a list of all the people and Warren Buffet is there.

**Alan:** I wouldn't be surprised. As I say, these guys are lifers and they've always been up there. You always find that with the lifers in politics, they're more than just gifted or charmed people. They have certain protection. They've always got a high position, like Al Gore too. Al Gore has always been kept around the background getting odd jobs here and there. He's a walking allegory, that's why I call him Al Gore. Then they give them a position which they probably decided they'd give them 10, 15 years ago. These guys have their lives mapped out for them: what they're going to be, the different positions they'll hold, the years they will hold them, just like a horoscope. That's how the world is run because we're going by 10, 15 year phases. They call them plans at the United Nations and they follow these plans.

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt back with Cutting Through the Matrix. I think we have Maggie from Texas on the line. Are you there, Maggie?

**Maggie:** You mentioned certain popular writers who are actually in the pay of the big boys as you say and are paid to put out certain ideas and you've mentioned in particular futurist types of Isaac Asimov and Arthur Clarke. I would like to know whether you put Steven King in that same category, even though he's not strictly science fiction?

**Alan:** Yes, I would in fact because it's kind of like some kinds of poetry. If you understand the esoteric stuff that you see on movies and in books, there's always

an esoteric undercurrent with rather astounding ideas that grip you so well. This in itself is a science that is taught to people, the study of the subconscious mind and what motivates us. That's why we get gripped by these particular types of writers. They understand and it is a science, understanding that is a science and they are able to put it in their books and they often give you little clues as to what's coming up in the near future in their books, so I'd put him in the same category.

**Maggie:** Okay. Thank you. I kind of suspected that and I admire your program very much. Thanks for your information.

**Alan:** Thanks for calling. You'll find that with many of these big popular writers. There's been some even in Canada that made movies that brought out books then movies and they went right into the whole thing of sadomasochism. Some of the movies were kind of horror movies and how the body could be literally altered and the mind altered through certain types of pain coupled with sexual impact, and it's quite amazing. This is understood by these particular people, but they actually put movies out about it, mainstream movies, and the public chomp their peanuts and their popcorn and blow their bubble gum. They don't really see the esoteric side of it and how they're being basically mocked and conditioned through the movies as they watch them.

We had a Kevin in Texas too. Are you there? Hello.

**Kevin:** I had a little more of a practical question I guess in a way. I don't have a computer. I listen to you every chance I get on the radio. I think it was last Friday I heard a man called in and he was talking to you referring to your book. I didn't know you had written a book. Is that true?

**Alan:** Yes. There's three out there on this particular topic.

**Kevin:** Okay, what I was wondering is how can I get a hold of your book?

**Alan:** You'd have to write to me or phone me off the air and actually you could probably hang on for the engineer. I can talk to you then once the next break comes up.

**Kevin:** That's what I was really wondering is how can I get your books.

**Alan:** You'd have to write to me. Do you know someone with a computer because the address is there?

**Kevin:** Okay. I do. My son does have a computer and I do know your website, so I'll get your address off the website.

**Alan:** The address is there and an order form too.

**Kevin:** And an order form.

**Alan:** It tells you exactly what to do and how much they cost. I've written books previously to this whole side of it, but right now I'm just concentrating on Cutting



Through the Matrix.

**Kevin:** I don't have a computer. I really don't plan on getting one, but I can always get to one if I have to.

**Alan:** Sure, you do that.

**Kevin:** I heard him referring to your book and actually he was referring to certain pages last week and when you all were talking, I was thinking, I've got to get these books. That's what I need.

**Alan:** I do go through the occult side of it. I show you some of the coding that's used everyday in the newspapers. I show you how to put words together and how the coding works on the Masonic side of it too and some of their codes and how literally they built codes into the English language, because the English language as we know it is a fairly recent phenomena in the last 500 years. Francis Bacon and John Dee talked about creating this language of the future that would be international, they meant for business; and sure enough, English is the international business language they use today. They put together a language, called it English. Before that, they spoke basically an old form of Saxon and German in England and this is the language they put coding right into the language and the alphabet too.

**Kevin:** Yes sir. Of course I'm American but my family is from Scotland and several of the old people when I was a kid they all spoke Gaelic. They used to talk about how English was not a real language.

**Alan:** It was put together as I say and they tried to say there was a lot of French words inserted, and some of them were later on, but really they put out the English language through Shakespeare and through the King James Bible and it came through the universities at the time and then proliferated amongst the people, until eventually people by mimicking about 100 years later all spoke this fairly new language. All the old words, there's thousands of old words that are long gone and are all related to Germanic and Saxon roots and even Gaelic itself has a lot in common with that too. Similar words, Vassar et cetera for water. They updated the languages because they knew 500 years ago at Queen Elizabeth I court that they were going to create a world of free trade with one system and John Dee coined the term the British Empire, and he said it would be based on free trade with most favored nation status for certain countries if they joined, 500 years ago.

**Kevin:** Yes sir you're right and I'm sure you're probably aware of - a lot of people aren't really, but if you look in the front of your bible, whatever bible you have at home, if you find out it was printed by the Oxford Press you may have some things to worry about there.

**Alan:** Even the King James Bible if you get the Scottish edition, which I have here, it's got the tribute given by the printers to King James and he's called a Sion in there. Sion, the royal Sion and he's also called that great SUN, and Queen Elizabeth that preceded him is called That Great Occidental Star.

**Kevin:** Yes sir you're right.

**Alan:** You see. Everything has been tampered with down through the ages.

**Kevin:** And so I could get the information to get your books on Cutting Through the Matrix?

**Alan:** That's right.

**Kevin:** Through - is it spelled completely or is it the shortened version?

**Alan:** It's the complete one.

**Kevin:** Well that's all I really need. I'll be ordering books from you.

**Alan:** Okay. I'll talk to you again.

**Kevin:** Thank you sir.

**Alan:** That's the reality of the game of the world we live in, it is a matrix. It's quite easy when you realize that when you keep people busy and you put them through war after war where they're tired out and exhausted and they just want to have children, have families and get on with their life, how you can introduce new systems so easily until a generation or two later you have turned everything upside down and they think it's all quite natural. You keep them busy, busy, busy. You keep them worried and they scurry around trying to survive, while the big boys run the show and give us what they call our culture. Every country in the west has a Department of Culture Creation, something they set-up during World War II and the CIA eventually took it over for America and they went into cahoots with MI6 in Britain. Both of them came out of the OSS and they had offices in the U.S. and in London and they ran the culture industry. That included music, all the visual arts and even the nihilistic type paintings to show they were more left-wing than the left-wings could be, therefore they wouldn't join Communism. They'd join all the left wing groups that they set-up. That was the idea behind it.

Meanwhile, when everyone was fighting in the streets, Communist versus Capitalist, they were all being had, because it was the CIA and MI6 that ran the whole culture industry and both sides of it. That's how simple it was; and the media of course was all part of it at the top. Now most in the media, as you know, or the lesser media, simply take their news from routers. I call it routers, not Reuters, because they route the news and everybody else parrots it without a problem; and you have a couple of other new services. Personally, I think they're all one in the same to make sure all the world gets the same data or disinformation. They upgrade us every so often with new data and we get downloaded and then we parrot it, just like Zbigniew Brzezinski said, "*the public shortly will be unable to think for themselves or reason for themselves. They'll expect the media to do it for them,*" and unfortunately that for most people has occurred.

They truly believe the media. The media used to come on at 6 p.m. with the news every evening across the world and they changed it to late night 10 or 11 p.m.

because they found that when people are in an almost somnambulistic state, they're tired and their shields are down. Their intellect is switched off and they're easily programmed because they're under that sleepy hypnotic type trance-like state. That's why they put it on late at night. You don't criticize what you see. Your censor is down and it goes straight into your subconscious, and sure enough, you go to work the next day and you start parroting this trivia that the media has given you to talk about. That's what the people will have for their conversation.

We live in a very complex system, scientifically created a long time ago, well understood. They have departments above governments who run the real show and Professor Carroll Quigley once again talked about that in his book "**Tragedy & Hope**." He should know. He was the historian for The Council on Foreign Relations and he thought it was a great idea to take over the world and create a single culture. He also thought it was time for the public to know. He thought they wouldn't mind and maybe he was right. Maybe most of them wouldn't mind, to be honest with you. He said the same thing, he said that for 50 years (and this was printed in the 1960's) the United States has had a parallel government running it, and that's when he went on to say, it's not important about the lesser politicians, it's only important that we always put our own man in at the top with a coterie of advisers around them. That has actually happened.

There's 50 years prior to the 1960's and it's admitted by the official historian for The Council on Foreign Relations, which is only the American branch of The Royal Institute for International Affairs. Every British Commonwealth country has its department of International Affairs. They're non-governmental organizations. They started off in Britain in the late 1800's, early 1900's from the Cecil Rhodes Foundation that was given an official charter by the Crown (the Crown is the aristocracy), and they merged it with Lord Alfred Milner group for the Round Table Society, the debating societies, put them together and the idea was to push the one system based in London across the whole planet. If you wonder where Karl Marx came up with his idea of a three trading bloc planet in the 1800's, it was from the same predecessors that formed these societies. They all knew the agenda in the 1800's. They would turn the world into three main trading blocs, with a United Europe to lead off the show, followed by a United Americas, and then followed by the Pacific Rim area and region. That's come to pass in our own lifetime. It's underway right now for the rest of the two main continents and the public have no input in it whatsoever and it's never mentioned at general election times either. They always bring you back to the basics: Welfare, healthcare, pensions and so on. That's what they keep you focused on, but they never bring up the fact that you're losing your sovereignty and yet that's what the United Nations was set up to do in the first place. It was set up to eliminate national sovereignty. That was the whole point of the United Nations. Its precursor, the baby that started it all was the League of Nations and even in its mandate they published the same thing.

H.G. Wells wrote about it. He says, "technically, this is the end of national sovereignty with the League of Nations because the politicians are bypassed with bureaucratic officials who can now go right across to any country and talk to their counterparts in other nations." It was to bypass politics all together. That was the purpose of it. However, it was reinforced with The Royal Institute for International Affairs taking over. Most of the big media characters you'll ever hear of or see are

members of The Council on Foreign Relations or in Britain, The Royal Institute for International Affairs. In Canada, it's The Canadian Institute for International Affairs. It sounds very official, like the Federal Express, but it's not.

It's a non-governmental organization and if you read any of their books that they publish from these meetings – and they do publish two sets, one for the members and one for the public if you want it – it states right on the front cover or the second page it will tell you this is a private non-political organization. They're telling the truth, they're non-political. To the average person, you'll think that everything they talk about is politics but it's not. They talk about an agenda, as opposed to the bickering of politics. They follow an agenda. That's what it's all about. An agenda is simply a plan and they make the plan happen. Their job is to put it into your mind through predictive programming through media, through repetition, which is the best tool of all, and even through the various dramas that you see, the movies that you see, you're actually being programmed with new ideas of things that will come to pass in your own lifetime. You'll accept them just like the characters in the movie accept those particular situations without thinking. We live in a very multi-dimensional world indeed.

Now another think I was going to talk about was the water grab. Now the food grab is almost over in the world and I don't know if people realize that, even when I was small and I saw the big companies come up like Heinz and Campbell's and so on giving you the fast-foods for the home, the tin foods which made us much more unhealthy. I wondered at the time how could it even arise and have so much wealth. They could start these businesses off and churn all of those cans out into this world and have everybody using them so easily and quickly and they denatured the food. There's no doubt about it. The children in my time were less active and energetic than the children a generation prior to that. However, they've been taking over the food supply for a long time. In all wars you go for the food. In ancient times they did it. You stop the food going into cities when you besiege them. You cut off the water supply. That's what you did, food and water, very basic.

You'll find that now they're going after the water supply. We know that the food is under the five big “agri-businesses” as they call them: Archer Daniels Midland, Monsanto and all the rest of them. Archer Daniels Midland is ADM, which is ADAM. Adam was the man of the earth; they take over the earth, you see, the food. They love these little jokes. Here's the water and this from – I'll talk about it after the following messages.

Hi folks. Alan Watt back with Cutting Through the Matrix, and just finishing off with this talk about water. For those who have a memory, and unfortunately people's memories are fading fast and more quickly than ever before, we saw the beginning of the water takeover with the various “watershed acts” they called them about four or five years ago as they came around in different states and areas and provinces in Canada and tried to convince the public of how the government would have to take over everything that was a watershed. Now the watershed is anywhere where it has ever rained, basically. They've redefined it now so the whole world is a watershed. This is from Golden, Colorado.

**It says here:**

*"Legislation is quietly moving forward in the U.S. Congress would expand the federal government's control over U.S. water to such an extent that even periodically wet ground would come under feudal hegemony a group of business leaders is warning. The bill represents one of the most expansive power grabs by the federal government over state and local control in memory," said Jim Sims, President and CEO of the Western Business Roundtable. The extent to which this bill puts states and their water resources under the thumb of the federal government is simply astounding. This bill would give federal agencies domain over virtually every wet area in the nation. It will fundamentally erode the ability of citizens, and state governments in particular, to manage our own water resources. It would cause an avalanche of new un-funded mandates to envelop state and local governments. Sims added: "It will make it more costly to grow crops..."*

**Alan:** That's one of the mandates to put all the farmers out, whatever is left of them. Most of them are corporate farms now.

*"...provide water to cities, operate and maintain water storage and delivery facilities, produce energy (including renewable power), build and maintain public transportation systems, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. The legislation, known as the "Clean Water Restoration Act," is sponsored by Rep. James Oberstar from Minnesota in the House (H.R. 2421) and Sen. Russell Feingold (D-Wisc.) in the Senate (S. 1870). The bill's sponsors contend U.S. waters are threatened due to Supreme Court decisions in 2001 and 2006 that clarified which waters fall under federal jurisdiction. But by changing the Clean Water Act's jurisdictional sweep from regulation of "navigable waters" to "waters of the United States," the bill would have "a devastating impact on Western state sovereignty and virtually every citizen in our region," Sims said."*

There you go. They're after everything that we need to stay alive and maintain ourselves, because to have things that we need such as water and food would make us independent in this whole world, under this system is "interdependence," that big buzzword from the United Nations and put out by Margaret Thatcher and parroted by every leading politician since. Interdependence means you're not INDEPENDENT anymore as a citizen, as a single person, as a nation or a country. It's the same thing, taking away your independence and ability to live and maintain yourself. One day it will be antisocial to grow a garden and feed yourself. That will come. You're supposed to be interdependent. You're taking work away from others by growing your own food. If you think I'm kidding, look what they've created in China. It's called Social Approval and Social Disapproval, promulgated to the citizens who now mimic what they've been taught.

For myself and Hamish, up here in Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your god or your gods go with you.

**(Transcribed by Linda)**